

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,224	09/05/2003	Chih-Kun Chen	2019-0215P	6986
2292	7590 10/10/2006		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			HUSBAND, SARAH E	
	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			D	_

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/655,224	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sarah E. Husband	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> :	September 2003.					
	is action is non-final.					
,	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $\underline{2-4}$ is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
··						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/655,224

Art Unit: 1746

Page 2

# **Drawings**

**DETAILED ACTION** 

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig. 6, Items 735 and 752; Fig. 4, Items 731, 741, and 743. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

Claims 14 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: both claims state, "...wherein said the..." Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,224 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 6 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the second motor", "the second rotation axes",... etc. in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations and a variety of others in the claim.

Claim 11 contains more than one sentence. Claims must only be one sentence.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishimura (US Patent No. 6,286,525).

Nishimura discloses a supporting base and driving device (Fig. 1), a rotation module having multiple wash heads (Fig. 1) and the bottom of the wash head is in contact with a wafer and the rotation module is drive and the wash-heads self rotate (see entire document). Nishimura also discloses a fluid pipe for the distribution of water (chemical) in the rotation module (Fig. 11A, Item 20).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/655,224 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura in view of Goss (US Patent No. 5,345,640).

Nishimura discloses the apparatus shown above in the 102(b) rejection. Nishimura does not specifically disclose the multiple wash-heads driven by gears. Goss discloses multiple wash-heads driven by gears. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nishimura with Goss for the benefit of reducing the number of drive units.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura.

Nishimura discloses the apparatus shown above in the 102(b) rejection, however, does not disclose the use of nitrogen. The use of nitrogen is common in wafer treatment and one of ordinary skill would readily foresee using nitrogen. This is also considered as the intended use of the apparatus and "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (emphasis in original).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 12-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not discloses the couple of first rotation axes, second rotation axes, first, second, third and fourth transmission belts, first and second motors, the first rotation axes rotating the rotation module and the second rotation axes controlling the wash-heads.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not referred to are Middendorf (US 20030000550), Yeo (US 20030115698), Marcus (US 2480489), Yonemizu (US 5636401), Perlov (US 5804507), Ueki (US 6330728), Sato (US 6381796), Teeny (US 6418584), Crevasse (US 6423149), Hirose (US RE38228), Hiramatsu (JP 20000013008), Kamiya (JP 56015974), and Hatano (WO 8203040), who disclose multiple wash heads or brush mechanisms.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah E. Husband whose telephone number is (571) 272-8387. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael E. Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SEH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER